



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LIV വാല്യം 54	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	6th January 2009 2009 ജനുവരി 6 16th Pousha 1930 1930 പൗഷം 16	No. നമ്പർ	1
-----------------------	---	---	--------------	---

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2643/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 14th October 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The President, Pothanicaud Farmers' Co-operative Bank Limited No. 3510, Pothanicaud P.O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. K. Sankaran, Kareeckal House, Koovalloore P. O., Pothanicaud in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Shri K.K. Sankaran by the management of Pothanicaud Farmers' Co-operative Bank Limited No. 3510 is justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2670/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th October 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Director, Santhinikethan International School, Missionkunn, Nilamel P. O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment Smt. C. Ragini, Sreeraj Bhavan, Sasthampoika, Thattathumala P.O., Kilimanoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. C. Ragini, Ayah with effect from 7-6-2006 by the management of Santhinikethan International School, Nilamel P.O. is justifiable? If not, what relief the employee is entitled to get?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2671/2003/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th October 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Veekshanam Printing and Publishing Company Limited, P. B. No. 1946, Cochin-682 018 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Santhosh Kumar, Challiyyil Veedu, Punnappra, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Santhosh Kumar, Challiyyil Veedu, Punnappra, Alappuzha by the management of Veekshanam Printing and Publishing Company Limited, P. B. No. 1946, Cochin-682 018 is justifiable? If not, what benefits he is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2672/2003/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th October 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri R. C. Babu, Proprietor, Vattakkaitha Granites, Kottavattom P. O., Chenamkuzhi, Kottarakkara, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Madhu s/o Kumaran, Athira Nivas, Kottavattom P. O., Chenamkuzhi, Kottarakkara in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. Madhu, Worker by the Management of Vattakkaitha Granites, Kottavattom P. O., Kottarakkara, Kollam District w.e.f. 22-12-2007 is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2681/2003/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th October 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Plantation Corporation of Kerala Limited, Registered Office, Kottayam-686 004 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Plantation Corporation Staff Union (INTUC), Registered Office, Kottayam-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the punishment awarded to C.D. Augusthy, Senior Field Assistant, Vettilappara Estate of Kalady Plantation by management, M/s Plantation Corporation of Kerala, even after found not guilty in domestic enquiry without any notice is legally justifiable or not? 2. If not what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,
K. CHANDRAN,
Under Secretary to Government.